Ammonia PSM Solutions

AMMONIA PSM SOLUTIONS / R717

AMMONIA MANAGEMENT TEMPLATE

Sample preview of the editable management-system framework for industrial ammonia refrigeration.

FORMAT	PURPOSE
Editable master template	Build a site-specific ammonia management system
Australia and New Zealand editions	Jurisdiction-aligned terminology and duty-holder context

DEMO DOCUMENT. Selected pages and abbreviated examples only. The purchased version contains the complete editable framework, registers, prompts and implementation guidance.

00 / PREVIEW GUIDE

What this sample shows

This preview demonstrates the structure, presentation and practical level of the full Ammonia Management Template. It is not a complete management plan and must not be adopted as an operational document.

The full template is designed to be edited. Prompts guide the user to insert actual plant details, nominate accountable people, connect existing procedures and verify controls against the physical installation.

Included in this preview

Preview section	What it demonstrates
Document governance	Approval, review, version control and controlled-copy arrangements
Plant information	A concise equipment, inventory and safeguarding profile
Roles and accountability	Who owns engineering, operations, maintenance, emergency readiness and assurance
Risk and critical controls	How hazards, safeguards, verification and actions are linked
Management-system framework	The core elements used to manage ammonia throughout the plant lifecycle
Emergency readiness	Scenario planning, escalation, equipment and exercise requirements
Assurance	Inspection, audit, review and improvement schedules

Full template contents

The paid edition expands these examples into an editable master document with implementation prompts, tables and supporting registers. It is supplied as a starting framework, not a substitute for competent site-specific review.

01 / GOVERNANCE

Document control and approval

A management plan should be controlled like any other safety-critical document. Ownership, approval, review triggers and access to the current version need to be obvious.

Field	Sample entry
Document owner	Engineering and Safety Manager
Technical reviewer	Competent industrial refrigeration engineer
Approved by	Site Manager / PCBU representative
Review cycle	Annual, and following specified change or incident triggers
Controlled location	Document management system and machinery-room access point
Related records	P&IDs, asset register, relief register, procedures, training and inspection records

Revision history

Rev	Date	Change	Prepared	Approved
0	DD/MM/YYYY	Initial site issue	Name / role	Name / role
1	DD/MM/YYYY	Example: detector logic and escalation update	Name / role	Name / role

Review triggers: plant modification, refrigerant inventory change, incident or near miss, regulatory change, new information about risk, emergency exercise finding, repeated control failure or a material organisational change.

02 / PLANT PROFILE

Process safety information

The plan should summarise the system without duplicating the engineering file. It identifies the authoritative records and highlights information needed for safe decisions.

Information	Site-specific entry required
Site and system	Location, process served, system type and operating modes
Refrigerant	R717 inventory, charge basis and normal distribution
Major equipment	Compressors, vessels, condensers, evaporators, pumps and purgers
Design limits	Design pressures, temperatures, relief basis and operating envelopes
Detection and alarms	Detector locations, set points, voting, alarm routing and response
Ventilation	Normal and emergency capacity, initiation logic and discharge location
Isolation	Emergency stops, remotely operable valves, manual valves and critical isolation points
Authoritative drawings	Current P&IDs, electrical schematics, cause-and-effect and site plan

Example plant-information check

Question	Status	Evidence / action
Is the refrigerant inventory current and defensible?	Verify	Charge calculation and service records
Do P&IDs match accessible field equipment?	Verify	Drawing walkdown record
Are relief devices traceable to a current register?	Verify	Relief register and certificates
Are alarm actions documented at the point of response?	Verify	Alarm response card / SOP

03 / ACCOUNTABILITY

Roles, competence and interfaces

Ammonia risk crosses management, engineering, operations, maintenance, contracting and emergency response. The template assigns ownership without assuming one person performs every function.

Role	Sample accountability
Site leader	Resources the system, approves risk acceptance and ensures actions are closed
Engineering owner	Maintains design basis, integrity standards, change control and technical records
Operations	Operates within limits, responds to alarms and reports abnormal conditions
Refrigeration service provider	Provides competent maintenance, isolation and technical reporting
WHS / HSEQ	Supports risk review, legal alignment, assurance and emergency preparedness
Emergency coordinator	Maintains plans, equipment, liaison, exercises and debrief actions
Workers and contractors	Follow procedures, stop when conditions differ and report defects

Competence is role-specific

Awareness training alone does not establish competence to operate, isolate, maintain or enter an ammonia system. The full template includes prompts for role profiles, training pathways, supervised practice, refresher triggers and verification of competence.

04 / RISK CONTROLS

Hazards and critical-control verification

The template links credible scenarios to prevention, detection, mitigation and response. Critical controls are identified by the consequence if they fail, then assigned a verification method.

Scenario	Critical control	Verification	Owner
Loss of containment during maintenance	Positive isolation, depressurisation and proved zero energy state	Permit and field verification before opening	Maintenance supervisor
High pressure / relief event	Relief devices within test date and unobstructed discharge	Register review and physical inspection	Engineering owner
Machinery-room release	Detection, alarm routing and emergency ventilation	Functional test to approved cause-and-effect	Site engineering
Trapped liquid expansion	Relief path or controlled isolation method	P&ID review, field walkdown and SOP check	Refrigeration engineer
Emergency entry	Defined entry criteria, competent team and suitable respiratory protection	Entry permit, gas monitoring and emergency recall	Emergency coordinator

A control is not verified merely because it appears in a procedure. Verification should show that the control is present, suitable, available and likely to work when demanded.

05 / SYSTEM FRAMEWORK

The management elements

The full template organises ammonia management into connected elements. Each element includes intent, minimum arrangements, site prompts, required records and review questions.

Element	What the full template addresses
Leadership and governance	Policy, accountability, resources, objectives and review
Process safety information	Design basis, drawings, inventory, equipment and safeguards
Hazard and risk management	Scenario analysis, critical controls and action prioritisation
Operating discipline	Operating limits, procedures, alarms, handover and abnormal conditions
Mechanical integrity	Inspection, testing, maintenance, relief devices and defect management
Management of change	Technical, procedural, organisational and temporary change
Contractor management	Selection, induction, coordination, supervision and performance
Competence	Role requirements, training, supervised practice and verification
Emergency readiness	Plans, equipment, response capability, liaison and exercises
Incident learning	Reporting, investigation, corrective action and shared learning
Assurance and review	Inspections, audits, metrics, action closure and management review

06 / EMERGENCY READINESS

Scenario-based planning

Emergency arrangements should be built around credible events and the decisions people must make. Generic evacuation text is not enough for a toxic, mobile and potentially escalating refrigerant release.

Scenario prompt	Example planning question
Occupied area alarm	Who receives the alarm, verifies conditions and protects people from exposure?
Machinery-room release	Can the release be assessed without entry and can ventilation be operated safely?
External plume	How are wind, receptors, shelter, evacuation and public warnings assessed?
Injured person	What rescue capability is genuinely available without creating additional casualties?
After-hours event	Who has authority, plant knowledge, site access and responder liaison responsibility?
Loss of utilities	What happens to detection, ventilation, controls, communications and refrigeration pressure?

Emergency capability register - sample fields

Scenario, initiating event, expected consequences, immediate protective action, alarm and notification, decision authority, plant intervention limits, PPE and respiratory protection, decontamination, first aid, external responder interface, equipment location, exercise frequency and improvement actions.

The purchased template provides the framework. The site must still determine what response can be performed safely by its own people and what must be left to emergency services.

07 / ASSURANCE

Inspection, review and improvement

Assurance confirms whether the management system is working in practice. The template separates routine checks from deeper technical and management reviews.

Activity	Indicative frequency	Evidence
Operator plant check	Each operating shift / site-defined	Inspection record and defect escalation
Alarm and detector functional test	Risk and manufacturer-based schedule	Test record including outputs and routing
Emergency equipment inspection	Monthly or site-defined	Availability, condition and expiry checks
Relief-device register review	At least annually	Reconciled register and forward schedule
Critical-control verification	Planned rotating schedule	Field verification and action record
Emergency exercise	Scenario-based annual program	Exercise plan, observations and debrief
Management-system review	Annual	Performance, actions, change and resource review
Independent technical audit	Risk-based, typically three to five years	External audit and tracked action plan

Example performance questions

Are overdue safety-critical tasks increasing? Are repeat defects being accepted? Are temporary changes still in place? Do operators know alarm actions? Can current P&IDs; be found quickly? Are emergency actions based on tested capability? Are audit actions closed effectively, not just administratively?

08 / NEXT STEP

What the purchased template provides

The paid Ammonia Management Template provides a comprehensive editable foundation that can be adapted to the plant, organisation and applicable jurisdiction.

Included	Use
Editable management-plan structure	Create the controlled site master
Implementation prompts	Identify information, decisions and records still required
Governance and accountability tables	Assign ownership and approval
Plant and process-safety-information schedules	Connect the plan to authoritative technical records
Risk and critical-control framework	Link scenarios, safeguards, verification and actions
Management-system element guidance	Build consistent arrangements across the plant lifecycle
Emergency-readiness framework	Define scenario-based capability and exercise needs
Assurance schedules and review prompts	Check performance and drive improvement

Important: The template does not certify compliance and is not ready to issue without review. It must be completed by people who understand the plant, its hazards, the organisation and the applicable legal duties.

AUSTRALIA EDITION	NEW ZEALAND EDITION
Model WHS terminology for jurisdictional adaptation	New Zealand duty-holder and regulatory context

AMMONIA PSM SOLUTIONS

Practical systems. Clear accountability. Safer ammonia operations.

ammonia.solutions